HNPS Advances in Nuclear Physics

Vol 4 (1993)

HNPS1993

UNIVERSITY OF IOANNINA

4th HELLENIC SYMPOSIUM ON NUCLEAR PHYSICS

1 and 2 October, 1993
IOANNINA, GREECE

Sponsored by
The University of Ioannina
and the
Hellenic Nuclear Physics Society

Projectile Fragmentation of 16O and 32S beams from Dubna LHE Synchrophasotron

D. Sampsonidis, B. A. Kulakov, M. I. Krivopustov, V. S. Butsev, M. Zamani

PROJECTILE FRAGMENTATION OF ¹⁶O AND ³²S BEAMS FROM DUBNA LHE SYNCHROPHASOTRON

D.Sampsonidis[1], B.A.Kulakov[2], M.I.Krivopustov[2], V.S.Butsev[2] and M.Zamani[1].

[1] University of Thessaloniki, 540 06 Thessaloniki Greece
[2] Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna Russia

Abstract

We investigate the charge changing collisions for ¹⁶O and ³²S beams, using the experimental method of Solid State Nuclear Track Detectors (SSNTD). Sample reading was performed by an automatic measurement system. We determined the total charge changing cross sections and the partial cross sections for the production of fragments of cherge $9 \leq Z \leq 14$.

1. Introduction

The total reaction cross-section (σ_{tot}) as well as the partial reaction cross-section (σ_{pl}) in Heavy Ion Reactions at intermediate and high energies, carry significant information concerning the reaction. Both σ_{tot} and σ_{pl}, compared to theoretical calculations according to several models, can lead to qualitative results which concern the reaction mechanism and the structure of the nucleus.

The fragments arising from the beam fragmentation, have the beam velocity (since the momentum transfer to the target is negligible) therefore, they can be identified using the relation charge - dE/dx. This is the property upon which the method for charge discrimination during the calculation of both σ_{tot} and σ_{pl}, is based.

The detection of ionising particles using SSNTD is based on the following principle: when an ionising particle passes through insulating solids, it creates narrow paths of intense damage on an atomic scale [1]. These damages can be revealed and made visible in an ordinary optical microscope, by treatment with a properly chosen chemical reagent. By the chemical treatment the undamaged material of the detector is etched with a constant velocity υ_B and the damaged regions with a velocity υ_T, where $\upsilon_T > \upsilon_B$. As a result, an etched cone (nuclear track) is formed, which is visible by an optical microscope. What we see in this case is the intersection of the etched cone with the detector surface, which in most cases is a dark ellipse on brighter background.

The track velocity υ_T is a function of the Restricted Energy Loss (REL) which is defined as the part of the Energy Loss including only ionisations in which δ-electrons with an energy below a threshold w_0 are generated. In CR-39 (which is the type of SSNTD we used) w_0 is determined to be 200 eV [2].

Somogyi [3] has derived the relation between track velocity (v_T) and the radius of a circular track (R):

$$v_T = v_B \frac{(v_B t)^2 + R}{(v_B t)^2 - R} \qquad (1)$$

here t is the etching time and v_B the bulk velocity of the detector.

Since $v_T = f_1(REL)$ and $REL = f_2(Z,E)$, $v_T = f_3(Z,E)$ and equivalently $R = f(Z,E)$ since the relation between R and v_T is well known. For energies above 800 MeV/n, REL remains approximately constant, therefore R ia function of Z only [4].

The experimental set up for measuring the projectile fragmentation cross sections is shown in Fig 1. A stack of three CR-39 foils is placed in front of the target (upstream) in order to register the incoming beam perticles, and another stack of three CR-39 foils is placed after the target (downstream) in order to identify the outgoing beam particles and projectile fragments.

Target

B

CR-39   **CR-39**

Figure 1. Experimental setup

2. Image Analysis System

For scanning the detector surfaces and measuring the track radii we used an automatic measuring system which has been developed based on image analysis techniques [5]. The principal components of the system are a PC-486 computer with a frame grabber, an image display monitor, an optical microscope on which a motorised stage has been adjusted and a video camera (Fig. 2). The software for the image analysis and track recognition has been entirely developed in order to fulfil the requirements of automatic measurements.

Each detector foil is mounted on the microscope stage which can be moved by stepping motors under the control of the computer. The image of the microscope is taking by the video camera and digitized by the frame grabber to a 512x512 pixels digital image. The nuclear tracks which appear as dark areas are recognised by the software. For

each track the parameters that are determined are the radius, the center coordinates and the brigthness in the center of the track.


Figure 2. The principal components of the Image Analysis System: A PC-486 computer with a frame grabber, B optical microscope, C video camera, D image display monitor, E motorised stage, F stage controller

2. Experimental Results

Tracks were measured, upstream and downstream Pb, Cu and Al targets, using the image analysis system. The results from the Pb target (downstream) are shown in Fig 3. The two pronounced peaks are due to ^{16}O and ^{32}S beam particles which came out of the target without having interacted with it. No other peaks due to intermediate charge fragments (8<Z<16) produced by projectile fragmentation are easily distinguished.


Figure 3. Track radii distribution from the Pb target (downstream)

The measurements are furhter processed in order to

i)   reject tracks due to detector background,

ii)   correct track radii due to microscope inhomogeneous illumination.

The data presented in Fig. 3 after the processing are shown in Fig 4. Intermediate peaks are now clearly distinguished for each fragment charge from Z=7 to Z=16.


Figure 4. Track radii distribution from the Pb target (downstream) after data processing

A numerical fitting method using successive minimaisations has been used, in order to fit our results, with ten gaussian peaks, corresponding to each fragment charge from Z=7 to Z=16. The linear relationship between track radius and the position of each peak is dictated by the linear relationship between track radius R and particle charge Z.

Table 1. shows the number of tracks measured upstream and downstream the Cu, Ag and Pb targets, for each charge fragment.

4. Total and Partial cross sections

From the number of tracks measured for each charge Z, upstream and downstream the target the total and partial cross sections can be calculated using the following formula [6]:

$$N_i(x) = N_i(0)e^{-x\sigma_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_{ij}(e^{-x\sigma_j} - e^{-x\sigma_i})$$

$$k_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sigma_i - \sigma_j}\{\sigma_{ij}N_j(0) - \sum_{n=j+1}^{i-1}\sigma_{in}k_{nj} + \sum_{n=1}^{j-1}\sigma_{ij}k_{jn}\}$$

(2)

where x transformed depth in target x=$N_A\rho t/A$, N_A Avogadro's number, ρ target density, A target atomic number, t depth in the target, $N_i(0)$ number of tracks of charge Z_i at x=0, where Z_1=16 and Z_{10}=7, $N_i(x)$ same at arbitrary x, σ_i total charge changing cross section of nucleus with charge Z_i in the target, σ_{ij} cross section of the production of a projectile fragment of charge Z_i in a collison of a projectile nucleus of charge Z_j on the target.

Fragment charge	Target					
	Cu		Ag		Pb	
	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down
7	323	372	317	382	214	190
8	4527	3476	4257	3022	3837	2638
9	14	22	15	30	15	26
10	12	29	12	36	16	29
11	8	35	13	43	9	41
12	10	45	19	50	13	46
13	27	42	10	36	18	78
14	41	100	64	115	56	100
15	31	106	33	106	31	138
16	2997	1992	2912	1721	2860	1595

Table 1. Number of tracks measured upstream and downstream the Cu, Ag and Pb targets

Table 2 shows the total charge changing crociscions for ^{32}S and ^{16}O at 3.65GeV/n for the Cu, Ag and Pb targets, as well as the partial cross sections for production of fragments with charge 8<Z<16, calculated using the formula (2).

The results are compared with theoretical values according to Geometrical and Soft-speres models. According to the Geometrical model [7], the nucleus-nucleus cross section is given by the formula

$$\sigma_G = \pi r_0 (A_T^{1/3} + A_p^{1/3} - b)^2$$

(3)

where A_T and A_p are the target and projectile mass, respectively, and r_0 and b are parameters. According to Soft-spheres [8] model the total nucleus-nucleus cross section

can be expressed by the geometrical formula in wich the overlap parameter b is given by Vary's relation

$$b=b_0 (A_T^{1/3} + A_P^{1/3})^2 \qquad (4)$$

where b_0 is the curvature correction parameter.

Charge	Target					
	Cu		Ag		Pb	
	σ_{tot}	σ_{nl}	σ_{tot}	σ_{nl}	σ_{tot}	σ_{nl}
8	1741±59		1950±65		2986±75	
9		46±3		76±4		81±5
10		70±4		103±5		89±5
11		92±4		116±5		178±7
12		133±6		129±6		187±7
13		122±6		115±6		308±8
14		261±8		234±8		326±9
15		307±9		315±9		637±10
16	2691±95		2994±87		4653±93	

Table 2. Total and partial cross sections (in mb) for ^{32}S and ^{16}O at 3.65 GeV/n for the Cu, Ag and Pb targets.

Table 3 shows the comparison of the total reaction cross sections (in mb) for ^{32}S and ^{16}O determined in the present experiment with the calculated values according to Geometrical model, Soft-spheres model and other experiments. For the Geometrical model parameters have been taken as r_0=1.2 fm and b=1.32. For the Soft-spheres model parameters have been taken as ro=1.37 fm and b_0=0.75.

beam	target	this work	Geometrical Model	Soft-spheres Model	Ref. 9	Ref. 10
	Cu	2691±95	1551	2796		2428±61
32S	Ag	2994±87	1981	3468		3043±83
	Pb	4653±93	2731	4611		8560±110
	Cu	1741±59	1223	2259	1950±41	
16O	Ag	1950±65	1608	2867		
	Pb	2986±75	2290	3913	3270±82	

Table 3. Comparison of the total reaction cross sections (in mb) for ^{32}S and ^{16}O determined in the present experiment with Geometrical model, Soft-spheres model and other experiments.

The data from Lindstrom P.G. et al. [Ref 9], correspond to 2.1 GeV/n. The data from Brechtman C. and Heinrich W. [Ref 10] correspond to 1.2 GeV/n for Cu target, to 0.7 GeV/n for Ag target and to 60 GeV/n for Pb target. Our results are compatible with cross sections deduced from soft spheres model than to geometrical model.

5. Conclusions

The experimantal technique of computerized track measurements in CR-39 solid state track detector offers the posibility of collecting a large number of data with very goog charge resolution. The experiment objective was to determine the cross sections of several reactions and the discrimination fragment charges prodused by projectile fragmentation.

References

[1]   Fleischer R.L., Price P.B. and Walker, Nuclear Tracks in Solids, University of California Press, Berclay 1975.

[2]   D.L.Henshaw et. al. Nucl.Instr. and Methods 180, 65, (1981).

[3]   G.Somogyi and S.A.Szalay, Nucl.Instr. and Methods 109, 211 (1973).

[4]   J.Dreute et.al. Phys.Rev.C 44,3 (1991) 1057.

[5]   D.Sampsonidis and M.Zamani, Microprocessing and Microprogramming 34 (1992) 153.

[6]   Brechtmann C. and Heinrich W., Nucl.Instr. and Methods B29 (1988) 675.

[7]   Bradt H.C. and Peters B., Phys.Rev 77, (1950) 54.

[8]   Karol P.J. Phys.Rev.C 11 (1975) 1203.

[9]   Lindstrom P.G. et. al., Bull.Am.Phys.Soc. 17 (1972) 488.

[10]   Brechtmann C. and Heinrich W., Z.Phys. A331 (1988) 463.